SCHWARTZ, STEINSAPIR, DOHRMANN & SOMMERS LLP

LAURENCE D. STEINSAPIR ROBERT M. DOHRMANN RICHARD D SOMMERS STUART LIBICKI MICHAEL R FEINBERG MICHAEL D. FOUR MARGO A FEINBERG HENRY M WILLIS* D WILLIAM HEINE CLAUDE CAZZULINO TAMRA M. SMITH JUDY L. VON ENDE ZOE S. MOSKOWITZ RICHARD M SWARTZ SHIRLEY A LEE AMY M CU LUCAS H OPPENHEIM JANET L WOODS

MEMBER OF CA AND NV BARS

LAWYERS

KENNETH M SCHWARTZ SUITE 2000

6300 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90048-5268

(323) 655-4700

FAX (323) 655-4488

WEBSITE: WWW.LALABORLAW.COM

NORTHERN CALIFORNIA OFFICE MARGO A. FEINBERG (510) 528-4426

(1916 - 2008)

SAN DIEGO OFFICE OF COUNSEL DOUGLAS F OLINS (619) 238-1601

WRITER'S DIRECT E-MAIL ADDRESS:

sal@ssdslaw com

UPS NEXT DAY AIR

October 6, 2010

National Labor Relations Board 1099 14th Street, NW, Room 5400 East Washington, DC 20570-0001

Attention: Robert A. Giannasi, Chief Administrative Law Judge

Arthur Amchan, Associate Chief Administrative Law Judge

Re: Ralphs Grocery Company

Case Nos. 31-CA-27160, 31-CA-27475 & 31-CA-27685

Dear Judges:

This office represents the Charging Parties in regard to the captioned matters.

Enclosed for filing please find Charging Parties' Motions for Reconsideration and to Reopen the Record.

Sincerely,

61

SAL:ls Enclosure

See Certificate of Service cc:

BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

Ralphs Grocery Company

and

United Food and Commercial Workers Union, Local No. 135,

United Food and Commercial Workers Union, Local No. 324,

United Food and Commercial Workers Union, Local No. 770,

United Food and Commercial Workers Union, Local No. 1036,

United Food and Commercial Workers Union, Local No. 1167,

United Food and Commercial Workers Union, Local No. 1428, and

United Food and Commercial Workers Union, Local No. 1442

Case Nos. 31-CA-27160 31-CA-27475 31-CA-27685



CHARGING PARTIES' MOTIONS FOR RECONSIDERATION AND TO REOPEN THE RECORD

Charging Parties United Food and Commercial Workers Union, Local Nos. 135, 324, 770, 1036, 1167, 1428, and 1442 (collectively "Charging parties" or "Unions") hereby move

the National Labor Relations Board ("the Board") to reconsider its Decision and Order dated September 30, 2010 and to reopen the record pursuant to NLRB Rules and Regulation § 102.48(d). On September 29, 2010, the Charging parties filed a Motion to Reopen and Supplement the Record based upon evidence that materialized while the case was being reviewed before the Ninth Circuit. However, the Board committed a material error by issuing its Decision and Order without ruling on Charging Parties' Motion. Charging Parties now request



the Board to reconsider its decision in light of the Motion to Reopen and Supplement the record, as consideration of the new evidence would lead the Board to a different decision.

Charging Parties' Motion to Reopen and Supplement the Record with the supporting Declaration of Shirley A. Lee, introduces evidence that definitively shows that Ralphs waived any attorney-client privilege as to the internal audit when it produced the internal audit to the United States Attorneys Office ("USAO"), and the USAO in turn produced the internal audit to third parties. The Charging Parties will not repeat the arguments and evidence set forth in that Motion, but incorporate herein the Motion and the supporting Declaration. After review of the evidence, the Board should issue an amended decision and order ruling that Ralphs waived any attorney-client privilege to the internal audit, and therefore, the internal audit should be produced to the Charging Parties.

III. CONCLUSION

The Charging Parties respectfully request that the Board admit supplemental evidence produced by the Unions and reconsider its Decision and Order dated September 30, 2010. Specifically, the Union request that the Board make a finding that Ralphs waived any attorney-client privilege to its internal audit, and that Ralphs committed an unfair labor practice by withholding the internal audit, which must now be produced to the Charging Parties.

Dated: October 6, 2010

Respectfully submitted by: Laurence D. Steinsapir, Esq.

Shirley A. Lee, Esq.

Schwartz, Steinsapir, Dohrmann & Sommers LLP 6300 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2000

Los Angeles, California 90048-5268

Tel.: (323) 655-4700 ~ Fax: (323) 655-4488

y: _____

Shirley A. Lee

Attorneys for Charging Parties, United Food and Commercial Workers Union, Local Nos. 135, 324, 770, 1036, 1167, 1428, and 1442

PROOF OF SERVICE BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY

Ralphs Grocery Company and UFCW Local Unions 135, 324, 770, 1036, 1167, 1428 and 1442 Case Nos. 31-CA-27160, 31-CA-27475, 31-CA-27685

LORENA SHEEN certifies as follows:

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California; I am over the age of eighteen years and am not a party to this action; my business address is 6300 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2000, Los Angeles, California 90048-5202.

On October 6, 2010, I served the foregoing document(s) described as

CHARGING PARTIES' MOTIONS FOR RECONSIDERATION AND TO REOPEN THE RECORD

by placing a true and correct copy (copies) thereof in a sealed envelope (envelopes) addressed as follows:

Rudy L. Fong-Sandoval, Esq. National Labor Relations Board, Region 31 11150 West Olympic Boulevard, Suite 700 Los Angeles, California 90064-1824

National Labor Relations Board 11150 West Olympic Boulevard, Suite 700 Los Angeles, California 90064-1824

Regional Director, Region 31

James J. McDermott, Esq.

Timothy F. Ryan, Esq. Morrison & Foerster 555 West Fifth Street, Suite 3500 Los Angeles, California 90013-1024

X VIA UPS NEXT DAY AIR By using UPS Next Day Air service, and causing delivery to be made to UPS courier service in an envelope or package designated by UPS courier service addressed to the person on whom it is to be served. I am readily familiar with the business practices of Schwartz, Steinsapir, Dohrmann & Sommers LLP for collection and processing of correspondence for overnight mailing. Pursuant to said practices, the envelope(s) would be deposited with UPS that same day, with fees thereon fully prepaid, at Los Angeles, California, in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing in the affidavit. (C.C.P. §1013a(3))

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on October 6, 2010, at Los Angeles, California.

LORENA SHEEN